

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/025,882 12/26/2001		12/26/2001	Peter Lejeune	36762-177327	1978
26694	7590	07/09/2003			
	-	ER, HOWARD A	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3 WASHING		20043-9998	SRIVASTAVA, KAILASH C		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1651	
				DATE MAILED: 07/09/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/025,882	LEJEUNE, PETER
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dr. Kailash C. Srivastava	1651
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ate, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	<u> December 2001</u> .	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) 7	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application	on.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) 1-11 are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b)☐ objected to by th	e Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ di	sapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	• •	
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	,	
 Certified copies of the priority document 		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received in Ap	plication No
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Book See the attached detailed Office action for a lise 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes		
a) The translation of the foreign language pr		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
15)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	• •	
Attachment(s)	•	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	,	





DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-11 are pending.

Election / Restriction

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C.§121:
- Group I, consisting of claims 1-5 drawn to a device to detect airborne particles, classified under Class 95, subclass 214, for example.
- Group II, consisting of claims 1-2 and 6-8 drawn to a conglomerate comprising different unit operation apparatuses housed in a trailer/recreational vehicle and said conglomerate applicable to analyze a biological sample, classified under Class 55, subclass 385.2, for example.
- Group III, consisting of claims 1-2 and 9-11 drawn to a method to detect airborne biological pathogens, classified under Class 435, subclass 30, for example.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions in Groups I and III are unrelated to each other because they are directed to different inventions that are not connected in design, operation and/or effect. These inventions are independent since they are not disclosed as capable of use together. They have different modes of operation, they have different functions, and/or they have different effects. One would not have to practice the various methods at the same time to practice just one method alone (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, for example inventions disclosed in claims encompassing invention in Group I are directed to a device to determine any type of airborne particles, while invention encompassing claims for group III is a focused method to detect only the airborne pathogens regardless of what device or methods are employed to detect those pathogens.

Invention in Group II is related to inventions in Groups I and III as product (i.e., apparatus/ operational units) and use thereof. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product [MPEP § 806.05(h)]. The process/method encompassed in inventions of Group I and III can be accomplished with any device (e.g., a n air pump) to collect the airborne samples and then taking those samples to a microbiology lab to identify the biological sample applying standard microbial identification apparatus and techniques. Similarly, apparatus conglomerate of Group II invention already exist and is appropriately utilized in identification of a wide variety of samples, e.g., those obtained from sites where energetic /explosives have been used or stored.





The inventions discussed above are independent and distinct, each from the other. They have acquired a separate status in the art as a separate subject for inventive effect and require independent searches. The search for each one of the above inventions is not coextensive particularly with regard to the literature search. Further, a reference that would anticipate the invention of one group would not necessarily anticipate or even make obvious another group. Finally, the consideration for patentability is different in each case. Thus, it would be an undue burden to examine all of the above inventions in one application.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification (i.e., class and subclass), and their recognized diverse subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).
- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Kailash C. Srivastava whose telephone number is (703) 605-1196. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M. (Eastern Standard or Daylight Savings Time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn, can be reached on (703) 308-4743 Monday through Thursday. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3014.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Kailash C. Srivastava, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Art Unit 1651 (703) 605-1196

July 8, 2003

Jon P. Weber, Ph.D. Primary Examiner